

## Behavioral Health Operations Committee Open Issues List

<b>Log #</b>	<b>Date Received</b>	<b>Sender</b>	<b>Brief Description</b>	<b>Full Description</b>	<b>Date Sent to Assn/Dept</b>	<b>Agenda Date</b>	<b>Next Action Date</b>	<b>Action</b>
07-1	8/15/2006	Carole Kriston-DePew	Terminology changes in the MIS forms	<p>1. May the MIS form include a category of "N/A/Retired: in the field Occupation of Client? 2. May the MIS form change "Resting Home" in the field of Current Living Arrangments to "Extended Care Facility"? 3. Lastly, may the MIS form change "SMD" to "SPMI" in the field of ODMH Special Population Group?</p>		09/14/06	6/14/07	<p>Terminology Changes in the MIS Forms: Laura Daniele indicated these terms came from the BHIS Form (Manual) which dates back to 1980 (before Sept Split) with ODADAS. ODADAS has modified the form since that time (around Federal guidelines) ACTION: Doug Day will take back to his staff to review form and bring recommendations back to the committee.</p> <p>2/8/07 - Doug Day will report back to committee when he receives the final recommendation from the Blue Ribbon Committee.</p>

Log #	Date Received	Sender	Brief Description	Full Description	Date Sent to Assn/Dept	Agenda Date	Next Action Date	Action
07-3	12/5/2006	Peg Eichner	MACSIS NPI Update	<p>The MACSIS NPI Workgroup had promised to monitor National Provider Identifier preparation activity in the community over the summer and report back to the BHOC in the Fall of 2006, if there were a significant number of providers falling behind. As noted previously, NPIs must be used by all covered entities under HIPAA to identify providers on electronic transactions no later than May 23, 2007. This means that an NPI must be included on provider claim files to be paid for services after the deadline.</p> <p>The workgroup re-convened in September 2006 to discuss the results of NPI monitoring reports, which indicated that there had been significant progress in NPI application activity, but related EDI testing was lagging. Subsequently, the workgroup issued additional communications (Alerts, OACBHA updates, Ohio Council updates, etc) to continue to promote awareness and conducted a recent Board survey. A status report of the workgroup's recent activity is attached along with results of the survey.</p>		12/14/06	6/14/07	<p>2-8-07 - Peg Eichner provided committee with an updated spreadsheet on NPI statistics. While a number of providers are ready, significant percentages have not passed claim file testing. Committee agreed to keep on top of this by communicating between meetings. Peg Eichner will provide committee with bi-weekly NPI updates.</p> <p>3/8/07 - MACSIS NPI Statistics Update:</p> <p>Peg presented committee with NPI Handout outlining the following topics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ohio Council NPI Survey (2/8/07)</li> <li>• Top Ten Agency Analysis (2/27/07)</li> <li>• NPI Status by FY07 Claim Volume (2/27/07)</li> <li>• NPI Statistics by Board (2/27/07)</li> <li>• MACSIS NPI Workgroup Minutes (2/21/07)</li> </ul> <p>One area of concern for the committee was the "NPI status by FY07 claim volume," which showed the largest percentage of providers who had not begun testing were in Range 2 (1,000-4,999 claims per year). This may be a problem in that many of those providers may not belong to the provider associations.</p> <p>Several suggestions made to help these providers prepare were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Since communicating with providers via snail mail to Executive Directors seemed to be effective, continue this type of communication and offer assistance with technical issues, etc.</li> <li>• Send out another copy of the NPI provider instructions to those who have not applied yet.</li> <li>• Don will contact NASMHPD to see if they have heard about any Medicare NPI issues from other states.</li> <li>• Send out a survey to ask providers for their implementation plan</li> </ul> <p>MACSIS NPI Workgroup will be meeting next week and sending out further communication. Committee feels on average statistics look pretty good, but suspects a lot won't be ready before the May deadline.</p> <p>Hugh communicated to committee that he was worried about what effect the time change will have on MACSIS.</p> <p>Peg Eichner feels they don't anticipate any problems, but will get with MACSIS staff and communicate back to committee</p>